

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9

10
11 LEONARD RANSOM, JR.,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 DANIEL GONZALEZ, et al.,

15 Defendants.
16
17

1:10-cv-00397-GSA-PC

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S
REQUEST TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL
OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS'
MOTION TO REVOKE IN FORMA
PAUPERIS STATUS
(Doc. 36.)

THIRTY DAY DEADLINE FOR
DEFENDANTS TO FILE REPLY TO
SUPPLEMENTAL OPPOSITION, IF THEY
SO WISH

18
19 Leonard Ransom, Jr., ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with this civil
20 rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed the Complaint commencing this
21 action on March 8, 2012. (Doc. 1.) This case now proceeds on the original Complaint, against
22 defendants C/O M. Amador, C/O Daniel Nava, C/O R. Marquez, and C/O Ralph Nunez for use
23 of excessive force; and against defendants C/O Daniel Nava, C/O R. Marquez, and Lt. Carlos
24 Sandoval for failure to protect Plaintiff.¹
25

26
27 ¹ On August 8, 2012, the Court dismissed Plaintiff's claim regarding his disciplinary process from this
28 action for failure to state a claim. (Doc. 17.) The Court also dismissed defendants Daniel Gonzalez and Sergeant
Ybarra from this action, based on Plaintiff's failure to state any claims against them. Id. The Court also dismissed
defendants Saul Ochoa, Harold Tyson, Eric Lunsford, Daniel Gonzalez, and Gina Marquez, without prejudice, on
Plaintiff's motion. Id.

1 On April 4, 2013, Plaintiff filed a request to file a supplemental opposition to
2 Defendants' motion to revoke Plaintiff's in forma pauperis status. (Doc. 36.) Plaintiff seeks
3 leave to add further argument in support of the opposition he filed on February 21, 2013.
4 Plaintiff submitted his proposed supplemental opposition, which was filed by the Clerk on
5 April 4, 2013. (Doc. 37.)

6 Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 7 1. Plaintiff's request to file a supplemental opposition to Defendants' motion to
8 revoke Plaintiff's in forma pauperis status is GRANTED nunc pro tunc;
- 9 2. Plaintiff's supplemental opposition, filed on April 4, 2013, is deemed properly
10 filed; and
- 11 3. Within thirty days of the date of service of this order, Defendants may file a
12 reply to Plaintiff's supplemental opposition, if they so wish.

13
14
15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16
17 Gary S. Austin

Dated: April 13, 2013 _____ /s/

18 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28