	2 3 4		
3       4         5       6         6       7         8       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT         9       EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA         10       WILLIAM P. GARCIA,         11       Plaintiff,         12       Plaintiff,         13       v.         14       KEN CLARK, et al.,         15       Defendants.         16       Image: Composition of the compositi	3		
<ul> <li>4</li> <li>5</li> <li>6</li> <li>7</li> <li>8</li> <li>9</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>11</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>19</li> <li>11</li> <li>19</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>19</li> <li>10</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>10</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>14</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>19</li> <li>10</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>19</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>19</li> <li>10</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>16</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>19</li> <li>10</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>12</li> <li>14</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>19</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>19</li> <li>10</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>14</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>16</li> <li>16</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>19</li> <li>10</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>14</li> <li>14</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li></li></ul>	4		
5       6         7       8         9       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT         9       EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA         10       11         11       WILLIAM P. GARCIA,       Case No. 1:10-cv-00447 LJO DLB PC         12       Plaintiff,       ORDER DENYING MOTION TO         13       v.       IECF No. 164]         14       KEN CLARK, et al.,       IECF No. 164]         15       Defendants.         16       11         17       Plaintiff William P. Garcia, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis         18       filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on March 12, 2010.         19       On August 3, 2015, David M. David, a non-party to this action, filed the instant motion to consolidate or reinstate the action. Insofar as this action was terminated in its entirety or			
6       7         8       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT         9       EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA         10       VILLIAM P. GARCIA,         11       VILLIAM P. GARCIA,         12       Plaintiff,         13       v.         14       KEN CLARK, et al.,         15       Defendants.         16       Plaintiff William P. Garcia, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis         18       filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on March 12, 2010.         19       On August 3, 2015, David M. David, a non-party to this action, filed the instant motion to consolidate or reinstate the action. Insofar as this action was terminated in its entirety or	5		
7       8       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT         9       EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA         10       WILLIAM P. GARCIA,       Case No. 1:10-cv-00447 LJO DLB PC         12       Plaintiff,       ORDER DENYING MOTION TO         13       v.       IECF No. 164]         14       Defendants.       IECF No. 164]         15       Defendants.       IECF No. 164]         16       IECF No. 164]       IECF No. 164]         17       Plaintiff William P. Garcia, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis         18       filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on March 12, 2010.         19       On August 3, 2015, David M. David, a non-party to this action, filed the instant motion to consolidate or reinstate the action. Insofar as this action was terminated in its entirety or			
8       0       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT         9       EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA         10       WILLIAM P. GARCIA,       Case No. 1:10-cv-00447 LJO DLB PC         11       Plaintiff,       ORDER DENYING MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE OR REINSTATE         13       v.       ECF No. 164]         14       Defendants.       ECF No. 164]         15       Defendants.       Flaintiff William P. Garcia, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis         16       If end this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on March 12, 2010.         19       On August 3, 2015, David M. David, a non-party to this action, filed the instant motion to consolidate or reinstate the action. Insofar as this action was terminated in its entirety or	5		
9       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT         9       EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA         10       Case No. 1:10-cv-00447 LJO DLB PC         11       WILLIAM P. GARCIA,       Case No. 1:10-cv-00447 LJO DLB PC         12       Plaintiff,       ORDER DENYING MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE OR REINSTATE         13       v.       ECF No. 164]         14       KEN CLARK, et al.,       ECF No. 164]         15       Defendants.       ECF No. 164]         16       Flaintiff William P. Garcia, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis         18       filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on March 12, 2010.         19       On August 3, 2015, David M. David, a non-party to this action, filed the instant motion to consolidate or reinstate the action. Insofar as this action was terminated in its entirety or	7		
9       EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA         10       WILLIAM P. GARCIA,         11       WILLIAM P. GARCIA,         12       Plaintiff,         13       v.         14       KEN CLARK, et al.,         15       Defendants.         16       Image: Comparison of the c	<sup>8</sup> UNITED S	STATES DISTRICT COURT	
<ul> <li>WILLIAM P. GARCIA,</li> <li>Plaintiff,</li> <li>v.</li> <li>KEN CLARK, et al.,</li> <li>Defendants.</li> <li>Plaintiff William P. Garcia, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis</li> <li>filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on March 12, 2010.</li> <li>On August 3, 2015, David M. David, a non-party to this action, filed the instant motion to consolidate or reinstate the action. Insofar as this action was terminated in its entirety or</li> </ul>	9		
12       Plaintiff,         13       v.         14       KEN CLARK, et al.,         15       Defendants.         16       Plaintiff William P. Garcia, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis         18       filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on March 12, 2010.         19       On August 3, 2015, David M. David, a non-party to this action, filed the instant motion to consolidate or reinstate the action. Insofar as this action was terminated in its entirety or	0		
13       v.         14       KEN CLARK, et al.,         15       Defendants.         16       Plaintiff William P. Garcia, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis         18       filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on March 12, 2010.         19       On August 3, 2015, David M. David, a non-party to this action, filed the instant motion to consolidate or reinstate the action. Insofar as this action was terminated in its entirety or	1 WILLIAM P. GARCIA,	Case No. 1:10-cv-00447 LJO DLB PC	
<ul> <li>13 v.</li> <li>14 KEN CLARK, et al.,</li> <li>15 Defendants.</li> <li>16</li> <li>17 Plaintiff William P. Garcia, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis</li> <li>18 filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on March 12, 2010.</li> <li>19 On August 3, 2015, David M. David, a non-party to this action, filed the instant motion to</li> <li>20 consolidate or reinstate the action. Insofar as this action was terminated in its entirety or</li> </ul>	2 Plaintiff,		
<ul> <li>14 KEN CLARK, et al.,</li> <li>15 Defendants.</li> <li>16</li> <li>17 Plaintiff William P. Garcia, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis</li> <li>18 filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on March 12, 2010.</li> <li>19 On August 3, 2015, David M. David, a non-party to this action, filed the instant motion to</li> <li>20 consolidate or reinstate the action. Insofar as this action was terminated in its entirety or</li> </ul>	3 v.		
<ul> <li>Plaintiff William P. Garcia, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis</li> <li>filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on March 12, 2010.</li> <li>On August 3, 2015, David M. David, a non-party to this action, filed the instant motion to</li> <li>consolidate or reinstate the action. Insofar as this action was terminated in its entirety or</li> </ul>	4 KEN CLARK, et al.,		
<ul> <li>Plaintiff William P. Garcia, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis</li> <li>filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on March 12, 2010.</li> <li>On August 3, 2015, David M. David, a non-party to this action, filed the instant motion to</li> <li>consolidate or reinstate the action. Insofar as this action was terminated in its entirety or</li> </ul>	5 Defendants.		
<ul> <li>filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on March 12, 2010.</li> <li>On August 3, 2015, David M. David, a non-party to this action, filed the instant motion to</li> <li>consolidate or reinstate the action. Insofar as this action was terminated in its entirety or</li> </ul>	5		
19 On August 3, 2015, David M. David, a non-party to this action, filed the instant motion to 20 consolidate or reinstate the action. Insofar as this action was terminated in its entirety or			
20 consolidate or reinstate the action. Insofar as this action was terminated in its entirety or	8 filed this civil rights action pursuan	nt to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on March 12, 2010.	
	On August 3, 2015, David N	M. David, a non-party to this action, filed the instant motion to	
21 February 4, 2015, and movant is a non-party, the motion is DENIED.	1 February 4, 2015, and movant is a n	non-party, the motion is DENIED.	
22 IT IS SO ORDERED.			
23	3		
24 Dated: August 11, 2015 /s/ Dennis L. Beck UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	4 Dated: August 11, 2015		
25	5	UNITED STATES WAODSTRATE JUDGE	
26			
27			
28	3		
1		1	

I