

1
2
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

4 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

5
6 WILLIAM P. GARCIA,

7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 R. TOLSON, et al.,

10 Defendants.

Case No. 1:10-cv-00447-LJO-DLB PC

**ORDER REQUIRING PARTIES TO
NOTIFY COURT WHETHER
SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE WOULD
BE BENEFICIAL**

FIFTEEN DAY DEADLINE

11
12 Plaintiff William P. Garcia (“Plaintiff”) is a California state prisoner proceeding pro se and in
13 forma pauperis in this civil action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action is proceeding on
14 Plaintiff’s first amended complaint, filed May 24, 2010, against Defendants K. Allison, F. Diaz, D.
15 Ibarra, S. Knight, C. Palmer, R. Santos, R. Tolson, K. Turner, and C. Walters for violation of the
16 First Amendment, the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment, and the Religious
17 Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act of 2000.

18 Within **fifteen (15) days** from the date of service of this order, Plaintiff and Defendants are
19 each to file a written response indicating whether a court settlement conference would be beneficial
20 in resolving this action. If both parties agree, the Court will issue a further scheduling order setting
21 this matter for settlement conference before a Magistrate Judge or District Judge from the United
22 States District Court, Eastern District of California.

23
24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25
26 Dated: March 7, 2013

/s/ Dennis L. Beck
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE