Ι

1	
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	MICHAEL ANTHONY MILLER, Case No. 1:10-cv-00453 LJO JLT (PC)
6	Plaintiff, ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
7	vs. (Doc. 16)
8	EDMUND G. BROWN, et al.,
9	Defendants.
10	/
11	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C.
12	§ 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §
13	636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.
14	On September 30, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations which
15	were served on Plaintiff and contained noticed that any objections to the findings and
16	recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the
17	findings and recommendations.
18	In accordance with 28 U.S.C. 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302, the Court has conducted a
19	<u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the findings
20	and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis.
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
22	1. The findings and recommendations of the magistrate judge filed September 30, 2010,
23	are adopted in full; and
24	2. Plaintiff's motion to remand this matter is denied.
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.
26	Dated:January 10, 2011/s/ Lawrence J. O'NeillUNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
27	
28	
	1