1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	CHRISTOPHER HARBRIDGE,	Case No. 1:10-cv-00473 AWI JLT (PC) ORDER VACATING DISMISSAL OF	
12	Plaintiff,	SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT WITHOUT PREJUDICE	
13	vs.	(Docs. 25, 26).	
14	JAMES A. YATES, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17			
18	Plaintiff is proceeding prose and in form	a nauneric with a civil action nursuant to 42	
19	Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with a civil action pursuant to 42		
20	U.S.C. § 1983. On April 3, 2012, the Court issued an order dismissing Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint ("SAC") leave to amend for violation of Fed. R. Civ. P. 8. (Doc. 25.) On		
21	April 19, 2012, Plaintiff filed a Motion for Reconsideration explaining the various aspects of his		
22	SAC. On its own motion, the Court hereby ORDERS:		
23	1. The order issued April 3, 2011 (Doc. 25) is VACATED . The Court will re-screen		
24	the Second Amended Complaint in due course;		
25 26	///		
26 27	///		
27 28	///		
20			

1	2. Based thereon, the motion for reconsideration (Doc. 26) is MOOT .	
2		
3		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
5	Dated:	/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
26		
27		
28		