

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JACO VAN MAANEN,

Plaintiff,

v.

YOUTH WITH A MISSION-BISHOP;
YOUTH WITH A MISSION
INTERNATIONAL, INC. d/b/a YWAM-
OFFICE OF THE FOUNDERS;
UNIVERSITY OF THE NATIONS, INC.
d/b/a YWAM-UNIVERSITY OF THE
NATIONS, and DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

1:10-CV-00493 AWI-JLT

ORDER ADOPTING
FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS
GRANTING YOUTH WITH A
MISSION- INTERNATIONAL,
INC.'S APPLICATION FOR
DETERMINATION OF GOOD
FAITH SETTLEMENT

(Doc Nos. 133, 74)

Defendant Youth With a Mission-Ahualani, formerly known as Youth With a Mission-
International, Inc., filed an Application for An Order Determining Good Faith Settlement and
Barring All Cross-Complaints Against Youth With a Mission- International, Inc. on October 21,
2011. See Court’s Docket, Doc. No. 74.

On November 29, 2011, the Magistrate Judge issued [Findings and Recommendations](#) that
the application be GRANTED. See id., Doc. No. 133. The Findings and Recommendations
were served on all parties and contained notice that any objections were to be filed within
fourteen (14) days of the date of service. More than fourteen (14) days have passed and no
objections have been filed.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a
de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds that the
Findings and Recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis.

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 2 1. The Findings and Recommendations dated November 29, 2011, are ADOPTED
3 IN FULL;
- 4 2. Defendant Youth With a Mission-Ahualani's application for determination of
5 good faith settlement is GRANTED;
- 6 3. Any and all claims for equitable comparative contribution, and partial and
7 complete comparative indemnity, based on comparative negligence or
8 comparative fault, which may be brought by Defendants Youth With a Mission-
9 Bishop; and University of the Nations, Inc. d/b/a YWAM- University of the
10 Nations are forever barred pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure
11 § 877.6(c).

12
13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14 Dated: December 16, 2011


15 _____
16 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE