

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JACO VAN MAANEN,)	Case No. 1:10-CV-00493 AWI JLT
Plaintiff,)	
vs.)	ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION TO
)	CONTINUE SCHEDULING
)	CONFERENCE
YOUTH WITH A MISSION-BISHOP; YOUTH)	
WITH A MISSION INTERNATIONAL, INC.)	
d/b/a YWAM-OFFICE OF THE FOUNDERS;)	(DOC. 23)
UNIVERSITY OF THE NATIONS, INC. d/b/a)	
YWAM-UNIVERSITY OF THE NATIONS, and)	
DOES 1-10,)	
Defendants.)	

Before the Court, is the stipulation of Plaintiff and defendant, Youth With A Mission-Bishop (“Bishop”), to continue the Scheduling Conference that is currently scheduled for July 7, 2010. The stipulation indicates that Plaintiff has not served the other two named defendants due to his ongoing settlement discussions with them. Apparently, these discussions have now failed and Plaintiff is actively seeking to serve these remaining defendants. In the stipulation, Plaintiff asserts that service will be achieved by June 25, 2010. As a result of the failure of all parties to appear in the case and due to a scheduled vacation for counsel for Bishop, the parties request that the Scheduling Conference be continued.

1 Counsel for Plaintiff is reminded that the Order Setting Mandatory Scheduling Conference
2 reads,

3 The Court is unable to conduct a scheduling conference until defendants have been
4 served with the summons and complaint. Accordingly, plaintiff(s) shall diligently
5 pursue service of summons and complaint and dismiss those defendants against
6 whom plaintiff(s) will not pursue claims. Plaintiff(s) shall promptly file proofs of
7 service of the summons and complaint so the Court has a record of service. Counsel
8 are referred to F.R.Civ.P., Rule 4 regarding the requirement of timely service of the
9 complaint. Failure to timely serve summons and complaint may result in the
10 imposition of sanctions, including the dismissal of unserved defendants.

11 (Doc 9 at 1-2) Based upon Plaintiff's failure to serve the remaining defendants, the Court will not
12 be able to have a meaningful scheduling conference on July 7, 2010. Thus, the Court has little
13 option but to continue the conference to a later date.

14 Based thereon, the Court **ORDERS** that the Scheduling Conference, currently scheduled
15 for July 7, 2010 will be reset on August 26, 2010, at 9 a.m.

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 23, 2010

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE