UNITED	STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
ABRAHAM GLASPER,	1:10-cv-00516-LJO-GSA (HC)
Petitioner,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
VS.	APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
KATHLEEN ALLISON,	(DOCUMENT #16)
Respondent.	
	/
17 Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no	
18 absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. <u>See, e.g., Anderson v. Heinze</u> ,	
19 258 F.2d 479, 481 (9th Cir. 1958); <u>Mitchell v. Wyrick</u> , 727 F.2d 773, 774 (8th Cir. 1984).	
20 However, Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B) authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage	
21 of the case if "the interests of justice so require." <u>See</u> Rule 8(c), Rules Governing Section 2254	
Cases. In the present case, the Court does not find that the interests of justice require the appointment of counsel at the present time. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that	
	e. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that
	ounsel is denied.
Dated: <u>August 9, 2010</u>	/s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
	EASTERN ABRAHAM GLASPER, Petitioner, vs. KATHLEEN ALLISON, Respondent. Petitioner has requested the app absolute right to appointment of counsel 258 F.2d 479, 481 (9th Cir. 1958); <u>Mitch</u> However, Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2) of the case if "the interests of justice so re Cases. In the present case, the Court doe