1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STA	τες διςτρίατ αλιστ
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN D	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
0		
9	STEVEN RAY PERRIDON,	CASE NO. 1:10-cv-00527-SMS PC
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT CATE'S REQUEST FOR RELIEF FROM
11	v.	RESPONDING TO THE COMPLAINT, AND
12	MATTHEW CATE, et al.,	FOR A THIRTY DAY EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT, TO COMMENCE UPON ISSUANCE OF
13	Defendants.	SCREENING ORDER
14		(Doc. 1)
15		ORDER REQUIRING DEFENDANT TO CONSENT TO OR DECLINE MAGISTRATE
16		JUDGE JURISDICTION WITHIN THIRTY DAYS
17		$(\mathbf{D}_{22}, 2)$
18		(Doc. 3)
19	Plaintiff Steven Ray Perridon is	a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil action.
20		
21	Defendant Cate removed the action from K	tern County Superior Court on March 24, 2010. 28 U.S.C.

§ 1441(b). Defendant requests to be relieved of his obligation to respond to the complaint pending screening by the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. Fed. R. Civ. P. 81(c). Defendant seeks a thirty-day extension of time to respond to the complaint, to commence upon issuance of the screening order.

Defendant's request is HEREBY GRANTED, and Defendant shall have **thirty (30) days** to file a response to the complaint, to commence upon issuance of the screening order. Defendant is ///

1	ORDERED to consent to or decline Magistrate Judge jurisdiction within thirty (30) days, in		
2	compliance with the order filed March 25, 2010.		
3			
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
5	Dated:July 30, 2010/s/ Sandra M. SnyderUNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
6	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25 26			
26 27			
27			
20			
	2		