(PC)Yates v.	King et al	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	E/ISTERICE	SIGNACT OF CALL OR VIEW
9	THEODORE BRITTON YATES,	CASE NO. 1:10-cv-00530-SKO PC
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER DISREGARDING MOTION
11	V.	(Doc. 31)
12	C. KING, et al.,	
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	Plaintiff Theodore Britton Yates ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in	
16	forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On November 30, 2010,	
17	Plaintiff filed a "motion for reammend[sic] complaint & additional violations of medical	
18	malpractice." (Doc. #31.) The same day, the Court issued an order disregarding a series of motions	
19	and other filings submitted by Plaintiff regarding his intention to amend his complaint because they	
20	were confusing. The November 30, 2010, motion appears to be similar to the motions and filings	
21	that were disregarded by the Court. The Court will, therefore, disregard this motion on the same	
22	grounds discussed in the November 30, 2010 court order.	
23	Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's November 30, 2010, motion is	
24	DISREGARDED.	
25		
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27	Dated: <u>December 7, 2010</u>	/s/ Sheila K. Oberto UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		UNITED STATES WAGISTRATE JUDGE
		1

Doc. 34