

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAMI MITRI,

CASE NO. 1:10-cv-00538-AWI-SKO

Plaintiff,

**ORDER ON REQUEST FOR  
STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER**

v.

WALGREEN CO. INC., dba  
WALGREENS; and DOES 1 through 20,  
inclusive,

Defendants.

---

On December 15, 2010, the parties filed a stipulated request for a protective order regarding confidential discovery materials. The Court has reviewed the stipulation and request for a protective order. In its current form, the Court cannot grant the request for a protective order because the stipulation and proposed order do not comply with Local Rule ("L.R.") 141.1. Pursuant to L.R. 141.1(c), any proposed order submitted by the parties must contain the following provisions:

- (1) A description of the types of information eligible for protection under the order, with the description provided in general terms sufficient to reveal the nature of the information (e.g., customer list, formula for soda, diary of a troubled child);
- (2) A showing of particularized need for protection as to each category of information proposed to be covered by the order; and
- (3) A showing as to why the need for protection should be addressed by a court order, as opposed to a private agreement between or among the parties.

1 Specifically, the stipulation and proposed order do not contain any showing as to why the  
2 need for protection should be addressed by court order as opposed to a private agreement. If the  
3 parties would like the Court to consider their stipulation and request, they are directed to refile a  
4 stipulation and proposed order that comply with L.R. 141.1(c).

5 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 6 1. The parties shall refile a revised proposed protective order that contains a showing  
7 as to why the need for protection should be addressed by court order as opposed to  
8 a private agreement as set forth in L.R. 141.1(c);
- 9 2. The parties shall email a conforming copy of the stipulation and proposed order to  
10 [skoorders@caed.uscourts.gov](mailto:skoorders@caed.uscourts.gov);
- 11 3. Any proposed order submitted to [skoorders@caed.uscourts.gov](mailto:skoorders@caed.uscourts.gov) should contain the  
12 electronic signatures of counsel; and
- 13 3. If, upon further consideration, the parties determine that there is no need for a Court  
14 order due to a private agreement between them, they shall withdraw their request for  
15 a protective order.

16  
17  
18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19 **Dated:** December 17, 2010

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE