1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	LINITED STATES DI	STDICT COUDT
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAMI MITRI,	CASE NO. 1:10-cv-00538-AWI-SKO
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING STIPULATED
13	v.	REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF DEADLINES WITHOUT PREJUDICE
14	WALGREEN CO.,	
15	Defendant.	(Docket No. 12)
16	/	
17	On February 3, 2011, the parties filed a stipulated request seeking to extend the deadlines	
18	applicable to the filing and hearing of dispositive motions. The parties request that the filing date	
19	for such motions be extended from March 7, 2011, to April 15, 2011, and that dispositive motions	
20	be heard on or before May 16, 2011. The parties state they are not requesting a continuance of any	
21	other scheduling dates.	
22	The parties' request cannot be accommodated. First, the request is not supported by proper	
23	affidavits citing reasons establishing good cause to modify and extend the deadlines. As set forth	
24	in the Scheduling Conference order:	
25	Stipulations extending the deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless they are accompanied by affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate attached exhibits, which establish good cause	
26		
27	for granting the relief requested. $(D = 0, a \neq 7)$	
28	(Doc. 9, at 7.)	

Second, the extended deadline requested is not viable because the proposed dispositive
 motion hearing date cannot be scheduled for May 16, 2011 – only three weeks before the date of the
 pre-trial conference set for June 8, 2011.

The parties may file a renewed request that complies with the Scheduling Order and sets forth a workable schedule, keeping in mind that any dispositive motion hearing date must be scheduled at least seven weeks **before** the pre-trial conference and any trial date must be at least eight weeks **after** the pre-trial conference date.

8 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the parties' stipulated request for an
9 extension of the dispositive motion filing and hearing deadlines is DENIED WITHOUT
10 PREJUDICE.

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 7, 2011

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE