1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHRISTOPHER SIMMONS, Plaintiff, vs. JONATHAN AKANNO, et al., Defendants.	1:10-cv-00553-AWI-GSA-PC ORDER STRIKING SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AS IMPROPERLY FILED (Doc. 22.) ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT CDCR'S REQUEST FOR SCREENING ORDER AS MOOT (Doc 23.) THIRTY-DAY DEADLINE FOR PLAINTIFF TO PROPERLY FILE A SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT
21	This is a civil action filed by Christopher Simmons ("Plaintiff"), a state prisoner	
22	proceeding with counsel. This action was initiated by civil complaint filed by Plaintiff in the	
23	Kern County Superior Court on December 17, 2009 (Case #S-1500-CV-269232, DRL). On	
24	March 29, 2010, defendant California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR)	

Kern County Superior Court on December 17, 2009 (Case #S-1500-CV-269232, DRL). On
March 29, 2010, defendant California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR)
("Defendant") removed the case to federal court by filing a Notice of Removal of Action
pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a). (Doc. 1.) On March 8, 2012, Plaintiff filed the First
Amended Complaint. (Doc. 13.) On July 18, 2013, the court dismissed the First Amended
Complaint, with leave to amend. (Doc. 19.)

On October 3, 2013, Attorney Scottlynn J. Hubbard IV filed a Second Amended Complaint on Plaintiff's behalf. (Doc. 22.) On October 7, 2013, Defendant CDCR requested the court to screen Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A and grant Defendant an extension of time in which to file a responsive pleading. (Doc. 23.) On May 8, 2014, the court issued an order denying the substitution of Attorney Scottlynn J. Hubbard IV as counsel for Plaintiff, on procedural grounds. (Doc. 25.)

Because Attorney Hubbard filed the Second Amended Complaint on Plaintiff's behalf without approval of a substitution of attorneys by the court, the Second Amended Complaint was improperly filed. Therefore, the Second Amended Complaint shall be stricken from the record, and Defendant's request for the court to screen the Second Amended Complaint shall be denied as moot.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

 1.
 The Second Amended Complaint, filed on October 3, 2013, is STRICKEN from

 the record;
 The Second Amended Complaint, filed on October 3, 2013, is STRICKEN from

2. Defendants' Request for the court to screen the Second Amended Complaint, filed on October 7, 2013, is DENIED as moot; and

3. Within thirty days from the date of service of this order, Plaintiff is required to properly file a Second Amended Complaint, pursuant to the court's order of July 18, 2013.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 9, 2014

/s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE