(HC) Wade v. Harley		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	TOBY WADE,	1:10-cv-00566-AWI-DLB (HC)
10	Petitioner,	ORDER VACATING ORDER DIRECTING RESPONSE TO PETITION ISSUED APRIL 7,
11	V.	2010
12	J. HARLEY,	[Doc. 4]
13	Respondent.	
14		
15	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus	
16	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.	
17	Petitioner filed the instant petition for writ of habeas corpus on April 1, 2010.	
18	Petitioner challenges a California Board of Parole hearing finding him unsuitable for release.	
19	On April 7, 2010, this Court issued an Order directing Respondent to file a response to	
20	the petition.	
21	On January 24, 2011, the Supreme Court issued its opinion in Swarthout v. Cooke,	
22	U.S, S.Ct, 2011 WL 197627 (Jan. 24, 2011) (per curiam). This decision renders	
23	the claims presented in the petition noncognizable for purposes of federal habeas review.	
24	Accordingly, the April 7, 2010, Order to Respond is HEREBY VACATED, and briefing	
25	is suspended pending further order of the Court.	
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27	Dated: <u>January 27, 2011</u>	/s/ Dennis L. Beck UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Doc. 15