(HC) Parr v. McDonald		
1		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	TODD STEVEN PARR,	
11	Petitioner,	No. CIV S-10-0659 KJM P
12	VS.	
13	MIKE McDONALD,	
14	Respondent.	<u>ORDER</u>
15		_/
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of	
17	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner has not filed a request to proceed in	
18	forma pauperis or paid the filing fee. In his application, petitioner challenges a conviction issued	
19	by the Fresno County Superior Court. Fresno County is part of the Fresno Division of the	
20	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. See Local Rule 120(d).	
21	Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in	
22	the proper division of a court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper	
23	division of the court. Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Fresno Division of the	
24	court.	
25	/////	
26	/////	
		1

Doc. 4

Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California sitting in Fresno; and 2. All future filings shall reference the new Fresno case number assigned and shall be filed at: **United States District Court** Eastern District of California 2500 Tulare Street Fresno, CA 93721 DATED: April 2, 2010. /kly parr0659.109