



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

The discovery and scheduling order is AMENDED to extend the deadline to file an To state a claim for violation of RLUIPA, Plaintiff must allege facts plausibly showing that the challenged policy and the practices it engenders impose a substantial burden on the exercise of his religious beliefs; Plaintiff bears the initial burden of persuasion on this issue. *Hartmann v. California Dep't of Corr. & Rehab.*, 707 F.3d 1114, 1124-25 (9th Cir. 2013) (quotation marks omitted).

“Courts are expected to apply RLUIPA’s standard with due deference to the experience and expertise of prison and jail administrators in establishing necessary regulations and procedures to maintain good order, security and discipline, consistent with consideration of costs and limited resources.” *Hartmann v. California Dep't of Corr. & Rehab.*, 707 F.3d 1114, 1124-25 (9th Cir. 2013) (citing *Cutter v. Wilkinson*, 544 U.S. 709, 723, 125 S.Ct. 2325 (2003)) (internal quotation marks omitted).

1. exhaustion motion from July 28, 2014, to **August 28, 2014**; and
2. All other provisions and deadlines set forth in the Court’s discovery and scheduling order issued April 28, 2014, remains in full force and effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 3, 2014

  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE