	ı v. Sizelove, et al	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	LIMITED STA	TEC DICTRICT COURT
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN L	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	TIMOTHY BERTRAM,	CASE NO. 1:10-cv-00583-GBC PC
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RULING ON PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AS MOOT
11	V.	
12	C. SIZELOVE, et al.,	(Doc. 15)
13	Defendants.	
14		<u></u>
15	Plaintiff Timothy Bertram ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in form	
16	pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The complaint was screened by the	
17	Magistrate Judge on November 22, 2010, and an order was issued directing Plaintiff to subm	
18	service documents and denying Plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction. Currently before th	
19	Court is Plaintiff's motion for a ruling on the preliminary injunction, filed November 24, 2010.	
20	Since the prior order, issued November 22, 2010, addressed the motion for a preliminary injunction	
21	Plaintiff's current motion will be denied as moot.	
22	Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for ruling on preliminary	
23	injunction, filed November 24, 2010, is DENIED as moot.	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	Dated: November 29, 2010 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
26		
27		
28		
		1

Doc. 16