Doc. 285

whether "good cause' exists to protect th[e] information from being disclosed to the public by 1 2 balancing the needs for discovery against the need for confidentiality." Pintos, 605 F.3d at 678 3 (quoting Phillips ex rel. Estates of Byrd v. Gen. Motors Corp., 307 F.3d 1206, 1213 (9th Cir. 4 2002)) 5 Here, the documents at issue are all stamped "CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY EYES ONLY INFORMATION." The documents appear to be internal communications related to the 6 7 patent application(s) for the intellectual property at issue. Many of the communications appear to 8 be directed to or are authored by an attorney employed by GE Power Systems. Though the Court does not and cannot find at this time, that the attorney-client privilege or attorney work product 10 applies, the Court does find that the entire exhibit appears to be made up of confidential 11 information not otherwise available or known to the public. Likewise, the Court finds that the need to maintain the confidentiality of this material outweighs the need for public disclosure. 12 13 Therefore, as to these materials, the motion is **GRANTED**. 14 Therefore, good cause appearing, 1. 15 The Court **ORDERS** the following to be **SEALED**: 16 The exhibit to the Motion to Compel and Determination of Privilege a. 17 Claims, lodged with the Court; 18 2. The Clerk of the Court is **DIRECTED** to file this exhibit under seal. IT IS SO ORDERED. 19 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 20 Dated: February 1, 2012 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28