1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	GE ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al.,	Case No. 1:10-cv-00674 LJO JLT
11	Plaintiffs,	ORDER ON DEFENDANT'S EX PARTE
12	VS.	APPLICATION TO SUPPLEMENT THE RECORD ON SUMMARY JUDGMENT
13	THOMAS WILKINS,	(ECF No. 627)
14	Defendant.	
15	/	
16	On October 12, 2012, Defendant Thomas Wilkins ("Mr. Wilkins") filed an ex parte application	
17	requesting (1) to supplement his record on summary judgment with the document newly produced by	
18	Plaintiffs General Electric Company and GE Wind Energy, LLC (collectively "GE") on September 27,	
19	2012; and (2) leave to respond to GE's objections to the Court's October 4, 2012 order. Mr. Wilkins'	
20	request to supplement his record on summary judgment is GRANTED. ¹ Mr. Wilkins' request to file a	
21	response to GE's objections to the Court's October 4, 2012 order is DENIED AS MOOT because the	
22	Court has already resolved that matter. (See ECF No. 629.)	
23		
24		IT IS SO ORDERED.
25	Dated: <u>October 16, 2012</u> //	s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill D STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
26		D STATES DISTRICT JODGE
27		
28		
	¹ The newly produced document does not change the Court's conclusion that Mr. Wilkins is entitled to summary judgment on GE's claims that Mr. Wilkins has a duty to assign his rights to the '985 patent because those claims are time-barred.	