(HC) Corral v. Gonzalez et al		Doc. 16
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
10 11	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	TOMMY CORRAL,	1:10-CV-00699 LJO SMS HC
13	Petitioner,	ORDER VACATING JUNE 3, 2010,
14	v.) FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION) THAT HAD RECOMMENDED THE
15	V.	PETITION BE DISMISSED [Doc. #12]
16	F. GONZALEZ, Warden, et al.,)
17	Respondents.	
18		
19	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus	
20	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.	
21	On June 3, 2010, this Court issued a Findings and Recommendation that recommended the	
22	petition be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. The Court determined that Petitioner's challenge to his	
23	gang validation and placement in the secured housing unit was not cognizable in a habeas action	
24	since Petitioner was challenging a condition of his confinement which did not affect the length of his confinement. On July 6, 2010, Petitioner filed objections to the Findings and Recommendation.	
25	Petitioner states his gang validation has affected the length of his sentence by altering his credit-	
26	earning status. He has submitted calculation worksheets which appear to show his sentence was	
27	lengthened as a result of his gang validation.	
28	renginente de directivo er mis gang (unidament	
U.S. District Court	od.	1
E. D. California	ed	
		Destruction of the contract of

Dockets.Justia.com

1	Accordingly, the Court hereby VACATES the Findings and Recommendation of June 3,
2	2010.
3	
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.
5	Dated: August 3, 2010 /s/ Sandra M. Snyder UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12 13	
13	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

U.S. District Court
E. D. California

2