1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	DARIUS BARKSDALE, 1:10-cv-00747-DLB (PC)
12	Plaintiff, ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13	VS.
14	LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPT., et al.,
15	Defendants.
16	/
17	
18	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding prose, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42
19	U.S.C. § 1983.
20	The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on diversity
21	jurisdiction, be brought only in "(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all defendants
22	reside in the same state, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions
23	giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action
24	is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is no district in
25	which the action may otherwise be brought." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).
26	In this case, none of the defendants reside in this district. The claim arose in Los Angeles
27	County, which is in the Central District of California. Therefore, plaintiff's claim should have been
28	filed in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. In the interest of

1	justice, a federal court may transfer a complaint filed in the wrong district to the correct district. See
2	28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Starnes v. McGuire, 512 F.2d 918, 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974).
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United States
4	District Court for the Central District of California.
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	Dated:May 4, 2010/s/ Dennis L. BeckUNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20 21	
21	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	-2-