1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	LAMONT SHEPARD, CASE NO. 1:10-cv-00754-SKO PC
10	Plaintiff, ORDER DISMISSING CERTAIN CLAIMS
11	V.
12	BASS, et al.,
13	Defendants.
14	/
15	Plaintiff Lamont Shepard ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma
16	pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff has consented to
17	jurisdiction by U.S. Magistrate Judge. (Doc. #5.)
18	On November 23, 2010, the Court issued an order finding that Plaintiff's complaint stated
19	cognizable claims against Defendants Bass and G. Miranda for the use of excessive force against
20	Plaintiff. The Court noted that Plaintiff failed to state any other claims against any other Defendants.
21	The Court ordered Plaintiff either to file an amended complaint or to notify the Court that he wished
22	to proceed only on the claims found to be cognizable.
23	On December 30, 2010, Plaintiff notified the Court that he does not wish to amend and is
24	willing to proceed only on the claims found to be cognizable. Accordingly, this action will proceed
25	only on Plaintiff's excessive force claims against Defendants Bass and Miranda and all other claims
26	raised in Plaintiff's complaint will be dismissed for failing to state a claim. See Noll v. Carlson, 809

F.2d 1446, 1448 (9th Cir. 1987) (prisoner must be given notice of deficiencies and opportunity to 27 I 28 amend prior to dismissing for failure to state a claim).

1

1	Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. This action shall proceed on Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment claims against
3	Defendants Bass and G. Miranda for the use of excessive force against Plaintiff; and
4	2. All other claims raised in Plaintiff's complaint are DISMISSED.
5	
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.
7	Dated:January 4, 2011/s/ Sheila K. ObertoUNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2