(PC) Atkins v. Adams, et al.		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	EDDIE JAMES OTIS ATKINS,	) Case No.: 1:10-cv-00755 JLT
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THE ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE
13	V.	) TO PROSECUTE
14	DERMAL G. ADAMS, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding <i>pro se</i> and <i>in forma pauperis</i> in this action. On	
18	August 3, 2011, the Court dismissed Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint with leave to amend.	
19	(Doc. 24) On September 6, 2011, the Court granted Plaintiff's request for a 30-day extension of time	
20	to file his Third Amended Complaint. (Doc. 26) As a result, Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint	
21	was due to be filed no later than October 11, 2011. However, Plaintiff has not filed the complaint.	
22	Therefore, no later than February 3, 2012, Plaintiff is ordered to show cause why the matter	
23	should not be dismissed based upon his failure to prosecute this action. Plaintiff's failure to respond	
24	and show good cause for his failure to prosecute this action shall result in an order dismissing this	
25	case.	
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27	Dated:	/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		OTTED STITLS IMIGISTIVITE VODGE
	$\sigma$	