Jamorna Retail Company et al	
Lynn Hubbard, III, SBN 69773	
Scottlynn J Hubbard, IV, SBN 212970 DISABLED ADVOCACY GROUP, APLC 12 Williamsburg Lane Chico. CA 95926	
Attorneys for Plaintiff	
THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
Byron Chapman,	Case No. 1:10-cv-00758-LJO-GSA
Plaintiff,) Plaintiff's Request to Continue the
VS.	Scheduling Conference and Order
Valero California Retail Company	Thereon
dba Valero Corner Store #3006, et) Date: August 5, 2010
al.,	Time: 9:30 a.m.
Defendant.	Ctrm: 10, 6 th Floor
Chapman v. Valero California Retail Company, et al., Plaintiff's Request to Continue the Scheduling Conference Page 1	
	THE UNITED STATE FOR THE EASTERN DIS Byron Chapman, Plaintiff, vs. Valero California Retail Company dba Valero Corner Store #3006, et al., Defendant. Chapman v. Valero California Retail Company, et al. Plaintiff's Request to Contin

1	Plaintiff hereby requests that the date for the Scheduling Conference,
2	currently scheduled for August 5, 2010, be continued to September 8, 2010,
3	or in the alternative, a date convenient for the court. Plaintiff makes this
4	request based on the fact that defendant, Valero California Retail Company
5	dba Valero Corner Store #3006 ("Valero"), was served on July 21, 2010, but
6	has not yet appeared. Defendant Valero's answer to the complaint is due on
7	or before August 11, 2010. Additionally, upon receipt of a letter from counsel
8	for Valero, Plaintiff anticipates voluntarily dismissing the Pierucci defendants.
9	If the Scheduling Conference is continued, it would allow plaintiff and
10	defendant time to properly meet and confer concerning the Joint Scheduling
11	Report by August 20, 2010, and subsequently file the Joint Scheduling Report
12	by September 2, 2010.
13	Therefore, plaintiff respectively submits that it would be counter
14	productive to file a status report or hold a status conference at this time.
15	
16	Dated: July 26, 2010 DISABLED ADVOCACY GROUP, APLC
17	
18	/s/ Lynn Hubbard III
19	LYNN HUBBARD III Attorney for Plaintiff
20	
21	ORDER
22	GOOD CAUSE HAVING BEEN SHOWN, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED
23	that the scheduling conference be continued to September 8, 2010 at 9:30
24	a.m. with the Joint Scheduling Report to be filed seven (7) days prior to the
25	conference.
26	Comercine.
27	Dated: _July 27, 2010 _/s/ GARY S. AUSTIN
28	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
	Chapman v. Valero California Retail Company, et al., Case No. 1:10-cv-00758-LJO-GSA

Plaintiff's Request to Continue the Scheduling Conference Page 2

PDF created with pdfFactory trial version www.pdffactory.com