1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Timothy J. Buchanan, # 100409 Mandy L. Jeffcoach, # 232313 McCormick, Barstow, Sheppard, Wayte & Carruth LLP P.O. Box 28912 5 River Park Place East Fresno, CA 93720-1501 Telephone: (559) 433-1300 Facsimile: (559) 433-2300 Attorneys for Defendants DLUBAK GLASS COMPANY, INC. and DAVID A. DLUBAK Counterclaimant DLUBAK GLASS COMPA		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	ELECTRONIC RECYCLERS	Case No. 1:10-CV-00760-LJO-GSA	
12	INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Delaware Corporation, and ELECTRONIC	AMENDED STIPULATION OF PARTIES	
13	RECYCLERS OF AMERICA LLC, a California Limited Liability Company,	AND [PROPOSED] ORDER OF COURT ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO COMPEL FURTHER DISCOVERY	
14	Plaintiffs,	COMPEL FURTHER DISCOVERY RESPONSES	
15	v.	Hearing Date: April 1, 2011 Time: 10:00 a.m.	
16	DLUBAK GLASS COMPANY, INC., a Pennsylvania Corporation, DAVID A.	Courtroom: 10 (Hon. Gary S. Austin, United States Magistrate Judge)	
17	DLUBAK, an individual, and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive,,	Trial date: October 31, 2011	
18	Defendants.	,	
19			
20	DLUBAK GLASS COMPANY, INC. a Pennsylvania Corporation,		
21	Counterclaimant		
22 23	ELECTRONIC RECYCLERS		
23 24	INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Delaware Corporation		
25	Counterdefendant		
26			
27			
28			
MCCORMICK, BARSTOW, SHEPPARD, WAYTE & CARRUTH LLP 5 RIVER PARK PLACE EAST FRESNO, CA 93720-1501		[PROPOSED] ORDER OF COURT ON DEFENDANTS' RTHER DISCOVERY RESPONSES	

1 The parties to this action, by and through their counsel of record, hereby recite, stipulate 2 and agree as follows: 3 1. The motion of Defendant DLUBAK GLASS COMPANY ("DGC") came on 4 regularly for hearing on April 1, 2011, at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 10, before the Honorable Gary 5 S. Austin, United States Magistrate Judge. Timothy J. Buchanan of McCormick Barstow LLP 6 appeared for DGC. G. Andrew Slater of Dowling, Aaron & Keeler appeared for the Plaintiffs. 7 2. The Court ordered further meet and confer discussions between counsel. After 8 further conference in the Courtroom and outside the Court's presence, counsel reached an 9 agreement which was placed upon the Court record and which is now memorialized in this 10 Stipulation and Order. 3. 11 The Court may enter as an Order of the Court the provisions set forth in this 12 stipulation. 13 4. The motion of DGC to compel further discovery responses is taken off calendar 14 upon approval of this stipulation and order by the Court, without prejudice to renewed motion in 15 the event of non-compliance with any of its particulars. 16 5. At or before the time set forth below, Plaintiffs shall hand-serve supplemental 17 written responses, verified in proper form under oath, factually and without qualification, the 18 following requests from DGC's First Request for Production of Documents: Requests Nos. 29, 19 43, 45, 46, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 62, 73, 76, and 81. 20 6. At or before the time set forth below, Plaintiffs shall further serve supplemental 21 answers, in verified form factually and unequivocally under oath, DGC's Request for Production 22 No. 83, rephrased as follows: "All DOCUMENTS that RELATE TO communications with any 23 and all PERSON about the methods or means of disposing of or processing glass products or 24 materials, or of materials that contain glass in any form, at any time." 25 7. Documents responsive to the numbered requests itemized above shall be handdelivered to DGC's counsel no later than 5:00 p.m. on Friday, April 15, 2011, or shall be made 26 27 available for inspection by DGC at a reasonable place and time in normal business hours not later 28 than April 22, 2011.

AMENDED STIPULATION OF PARTIES AND [PROPOSED] ORDER OF COURT ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO COMPEL FURTHER DISCOVERY RESPONSES

1	8. In the event that Plaintiffs produce no further documents in response to one or
2	more of the requests itemized above, the supplemental written response to that request shall state,
3	unequivocally and without qualification, verified under oath, that Plaintiffs have made a diligent
4	search and inquiry for the requested documents and that no responsive documents exist. In the
5	event that the responsive documents once existed and no longer exist, ERI shall state how, when,
6	and why they were discarded, deleted, or destroyed.
7	9. Plaintiffs further shall serve supplemental responses, in factual and unequivocal
8	form, verified under oath, to DGC's Requests for Admission No. 28, 31, and 35. Those
9	supplemental responses shall be hand-delivered to DGC's counsel no later than 5:00 p.m. on
10	Friday, April 15, 2011.
11	10. Plaintiffs further shall serve a supplemental response, in factual and unequivocal
12	form, verified under oath, to DGC's Special Interrogatory No. 24, modified by removing the

phrase "or attempted to ship" from its substance. That supplemental response shall be hand-13 14 served on DGC's counsel no later than 5:00 p.m. on April 15, 2011.

15 11. Except as expressly noted above, all further disputed discovery requests described 16 in the parties' Joint Statement filed on March 25, 2011, are deemed withdrawn from this motion. 17

12.	The parties mutually withdraw their requests for sanctions.

18	Dated: April <u>5</u> , 2011	McCORMICK, BARSTOW, SHEPPARD,
19		WAYTE & CARRUTH LLP

/s/ Timothy J. Buchanan By:___ Timothy J. Buchanan Mandy L. Jeffcoach Attorneys for Defendants, DLUBAK GLASS COMPANY, INC. and DAVID A. **DLUBAK** and Counter Claimant DLUBAK GLASS COMPANY, INC

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

///

///

///

///

1	Dated: April <u>5</u> , 2011 DOWLING, AARON & KEELER LLP
2	
3	By:/s/ G. Andrew Slater G. Andrew Slater
4	Attorneys for Plaintiffs ELECTRONIC
5	RECYČLERS INTERNATIONAL, INC. and ELECTRONIC RECYCLERS OF
6	AMERICA LLC
7	
8	
9	<u>ORDER</u>
10	The Court, having reviewed the above stipulation and finding good cause to support it,
11	hereby enters the stipulation as an ORDER OF THE COURT. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THIS
12	ORDER IN ANY RESPECT SHALL RESULT IN THE IMPOSITION OF SANCTIONS IN THE DISCRETION
13	OF THE COURT INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ONE OR MORE OF THE SANCTIONS LISTED IN
14	RULE 37(B)(2)(A) AND (C) OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, AND MAY BE
15	TREATED BY THE COURT AS A CONTEMPT OF COURT.
16	
17	
18	IT IS SO ORDERED.
19	Dated: April 5, 2011 /s/ Gary S. Austin
20	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 McCormick, Barstow,	3
SHEPPARD, WAYTE & CARRUTH LLP 5 River Park Place East Fresno, CA 93720-1501	AMENDED STIPULATION OF PARTIES AND [PROPOSED] ORDER OF COURT ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO COMPEL FURTHER DISCOVERY RESPONSES