

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8 GARRISON S. JOHNSON,

CASE NO. 1:10-cv-803-AWI-MJS (PC)

9 Plaintiff,

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
10 SCREENING

11 v.

(ECF No. 13)

12 MATHEW CATE , et al.,

13 Defendants.
14 _____/

15 Plaintiff Garrison S. Johnson("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this
16 civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion
17 Requesting a Screening of His Complaint. (ECF No. 13.) Plaintiff alleges that he filed this
18 action one year ago and has heard nothing from the Court.

19 The Court is required to screen complaints brought by prisoners seeking relief
20 against a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C.
21 § 1915A(a). The Court must dismiss a complaint or portion thereof if the prisoner has
22 raised claims that are legally "frivolous or malicious," that fail to state a claim upon which
23 relief may be granted, or that seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from
24 such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1),(2). The Court will direct the United States Marshal
25 to serve Plaintiff's complaint only after the Court has screened the complaint and
26 determined that it contains cognizable claims for relief against the named Defendants.

27 ///

1 The Court is aware of Plaintiff's action and his Complaint is in line for screening.
2 However, the Court has a large number of prisoner civil rights cases pending before it and
3 will screen Plaintiff's complaint in due course. Until such time as the Court has screened
4 Plaintiff's Complaint, no further action is required.

5 Accordingly, Plaintiff's Motion Requesting a Screening of His Complaint (ECF No.
6 13) is DENIED.

7
8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 Dated: November 9, 2011

/s/ Michael J. Seng
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE