

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7
89
10 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11
12
13
14
15
16

10 LINDA ELIZABETH RICCHIO,) 1:10-cv-0824-SKO-HC
11 Petitioner,)
12) ORDER DISREGARDING PETITIONER'S
13) OBJECTION TO ORDER TRANSFERRING
14) ACTION AND MOTIONS TO RECONSIDER
15) AND FOR RELIEF FROM TRANSFER
16) PURSUANT TO Fed. R. Civ. P. 59-
17) 60(b) (DOC. 13)
18)
19) Respondents.)
20)
21)
22)

23 Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a
24 petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.
25 The matter has been referred to the Magistrate Judge pursuant to
26 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) (1) and Local Rules 302 and 303.

27 Petitioner is an inmate of Valley State Prison for Women
28 (VSPW) in Chowchilla, California, which is within the Eastern
29 District of California. Petitioner is serving a sentence
30 pursuant to a conviction in the San Diego Superior Court (pet. 3)
31 and challenges a decision to deny her parole, which was rendered
32 at VSPW. On May 10, 2010, Petitioner's action was transferred to
33 this Court from the United States District Court for the Southern
34 District of California.

On May 27, 2010, Petitioner filed objections to the transfer, a motion for reconsideration of the transfer, and a motion for relief from the transfer. Her objections and motions were captioned for the United States District Court for the Southern District of California. (Doc. 13, 1.) Further, Petitioner stated in her papers that she was filing the motion in the Southern District, and she was serving a copy on this Court. (Id.) The Clerk of this Court, however, docketed the papers as an objection and motion to reconsider pending in this Court.

Accordingly, to maintain the integrity of the docket, Petitioner's objections and motions for reconsideration of, and relief from, the order of transfer are DISREGARDED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 19, 2010

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE