Recommendations. (Doc. 16). On November 30, 2010, Petitioner's counsel filed a response to

28

Doc. 18

Respondent's objections. (Doc. 17). In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, including Petitioner's objections, the Court concludes that the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations is supported by the record and proper analysis. Petitioner's objections present no grounds for questioning the Magistrate Judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The Findings and Recommendations, filed November 4, 2010 (Doc. 15), is ADOPTED IN FULL; 2. Respondent's Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 11), is DENIED; 3. This petition for writ of habeas corpus is REMANDED to the United States Magistrate Judge assigned to this case for further proceedings. IT IS SO ORDERED. **Dated:** <u>December 14, 2010</u> <u>/s/ Oliver W. Wanger</u> UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE