

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 JASON S. HARPER, 1:10-cv-00926-LJO-GSA-PC
12 Plaintiff,
13 vs. ORDER STRIKING SECOND AMENDED
14 ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, COMPLAINT FOR LACK OF SIGNATURE
et al., (Doc. 15.)
15 Defendants. THIRTY DAY DEADLINE FOR PLAINTIFF TO FILE
THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT WHICH BEARS
PLAINTIFF'S SIGNATURE

I. BACKGROUND

18 Jason S. Harper (“Plaintiff”) is a prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with this civil
19 rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed the Complaint initiating this action on May
20 24, 2010. (Doc. 1.) On December 13, 2010, Plaintiff filed the First Amended Complaint. (Doc. 9.) On
21 April 12, 2012, the Court entered an order dismissing the First Amended Complaint for failure to state
22 a claim, with leave to amend. (Doc. 11.) On July 12, 2012, Plaintiff filed the Second Amended
23 Complaint. (Doc. 16.)

24 || II. DISCUSSION

25 The Second Amended Complaint is unsigned. (Doc. 16 at 9 ¶V.) All filings submitted to the
26 court must bear the signature of the filing party. Local Rule 131; Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(a). Accordingly,
27 Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, filed on July 12, 2012, shall be stricken from the record for lack

1 of signature. Plaintiff shall be afforded an opportunity to file a Third Amended Complaint which bears
2 his signature.

3 **III. CONCLUSION AND ORDER**

4 The Court finds that Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint must be stricken from the record
5 for lack of signature, with leave to amend.

6 Under Rule 15(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, leave to amend 'shall be freely given
7 when justice so requires.'" The Court will provide Plaintiff with time to file a Third Amended
8 Complaint which bears his signature. Lopez v. Smith, 203 F.3d 1122, 1126-30 (9th Cir.2000).
9 Plaintiff is granted leave to file a Third Amended Complaint within thirty days.

10 Plaintiff is reminded that the Third Amended Complaint should be brief, but must state what
11 each named defendant did that led to the deprivation of Plaintiff's constitutional or other federal rights.
12 Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a); Iqbal, 129 S.Ct. at 1948-49; Jones v. Williams, 297 F.3d 930, 934 (9th Cir. 2002).
13 There is no *respondeat superior* liability, and each defendant is only liable for his or her own
14 misconduct. Iqbal, 129 S.Ct. at 1948-49. Plaintiff must set forth "sufficient factual matter . . . to 'state
15 a claim that is plausible on its face.'" Id. at 1949 (quoting Twombly, 550 U.S. at 555). Plaintiff must
16 also demonstrate that each defendant *personally* participated in the deprivation of his rights. Jones, 297
17 F.3d at 934 (emphasis added).

18 Plaintiff should note that although he has been given the opportunity to amend, it is not for the
19 purpose of adding new defendants relating to issues arising after May 24, 2010. In addition, Plaintiff
20 should take care to include only those claims that have been exhausted prior to the initiation of this suit
21 on May 24, 2010.

22 While exhibits are permissible, they are not necessary in the federal system of notice pleading,
23 Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a). Plaintiff is advised that under Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,
24 Plaintiff is only obligated to provide "a short and plain statement of [his] claim." Plaintiff is not
25 obligated to prove the allegations in his complaint at this stage. Attaching a large number of exhibits
26 to a complaint may result in the complaint being dismissed for failure to comply with Federal Rule of
27 ///

1 Civil Procedure 8, as it will render the complaint to be neither a “short” nor “plain” statement of
2 Plaintiff’s claims.

3 Plaintiff is also reminded that an amended complaint supercedes the original complaint, Lacey
4 v. Maricopa County, 693 F 3d. 896, 907 n.1 (9th Cir. 2012) (en banc), and it must be complete in itself
5 without reference to the prior or superceded pleading, Local Rule 220. Therefore, in an amended
6 complaint, as in an original complaint, each claim and the involvement of each defendant must be
7 sufficiently alleged. The amended complaint should be clearly and boldly titled “Third Amended
8 Complaint,” refer to the appropriate case number, and be an original signed under penalty of perjury.

9 Based on the foregoing, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 10 1. Plaintiff’s Second Amended Complaint, filed on July 12, 2012, is STRICKEN from the
11 record for lack of Plaintiff’s signature, with leave to amend;
- 12 2. The Clerk’s Office shall send Plaintiff a civil rights complaint form;
- 13 3. Within **thirty (30) days** from the date of service of this order, Plaintiff shall file a Third
14 Amended Complaint which bears Plaintiff’s signature;
- 15 4. Plaintiff shall caption the amended complaint “Third Amended Complaint” and refer to
16 the case number 1:10-cv-00926-LJO-GSA-PC; and
- 17 5. If Plaintiff fails to comply with this order, this action will be dismissed for failure to state
18 a claim upon which relief may be granted.

19
20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Dated: February 28, 2013

22 /s/ Gary S. Austin
23 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

24

25

26

27

28