(PC) Baltimore v. I	Haggins	Doc. 20
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ROBERT BALTIMORE,	Case No. 1:10-cv-00931 OWW JLT (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DISREGARDING PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO DEFENDANT'S ANSWER
13	VS.	(Doc. 19)
14	CHRISTOPHER HAGGINS,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with a civil rights action pursuant to 42	
18	U.S.C. § 1983. On June 15, 2011, Plaintiff filed a document entitled "Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's	
19	Answer and Opposition for a Summary Judgment." Plaintiff is advised that a response to Defendant's	
20	answer is unnecessary. Plaintiff is also advised that Defendant has yet to file a motion for summary	
21	judgment. The Court, therefore, will disregard Plaintiff's June 15, 2011 filing.	
22		
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
24	Dated:	/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
25		
26		
27		
28		