of disqualification, <u>no later than</u> February 22, 2013.

28

1	3. Defendants' lead counsel and a person with full and unlimited authority to negotiate		
2	and enter into a binding settlement on defendants' behalf shall attend in person, unless otherwise		
3	excused by the Court in advance of the conference.		
4	4. Those in attendance must be prepared to discuss the claims, defenses and damages.		
5	The failure of any counsel, party or authorized person subject to this order to participate in		
6	the settlement conference may result in the imposition of sanctions.		
7	5. The Clerk of the Court is directed to serve a copy of this order on the Litigation Office		
8	at CSP-COR via facsimile at (559) 992-7372.		
9			
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
11	Dated: January 17, 2013 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston		
12	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23	¹ Error! Main Document Only. The term "full and unlimited authority to settle" means that the individuals attending the mediation conference must be authorized to fully explore settlement options and to agree at that time to		
24	any settlement terms acceptable to the parties. <u>G. Heileman Brewing Co., Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corp.</u> , 871 F.2d 648, 653 (7 th Cir. 1989), <u>cited with approval in Official Airline Guides, Inc. v. Goss</u> , 6 F. 3d 1385, 1396 (9 th Cir. 1993)		
25	The individual with full authority to settle must also have "unfettered discretion and authority" to change the settlement position of the party, if appropriate. Pittman v. Brinker Int'l., Inc., 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-86 (D. Ariz.		
26	2003), amended on recon. in part, Pitman v. Brinker Int'l, Inc., 2003 WL 23353478 (D. Ariz. 2003). The purpose behind requiring the attendance of a person with full settlement authority is that the parties' view of the case may be		
27	altered during the face to face conference. <u>Pitman</u> , 216 F.R.D. at 486. An authorization to settle for a limited dollar amount or sum certain can be found not to comply with the requirement of full authority to settle. <u>Nick v. Morgan's Foods, Inc.</u> , 270 F. 3d 590, 596-97 (8 th Cir. 2001).		
20	<u>1'00us, IIIc.,</u> 2/0 f. 3u 3yu, 3yu-y/ (o CII. 2001).		

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	ROBERT BALTIMORE,	Case No. 1:10-cv-00931 LJO JLT (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,	WAIVER OF DISQUALIFICATION	
13	v.		
14	CHRISTOPHER HAGGINS		
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	Under Local Rule 270(b) of the Eastern District of California, the parties to the herein		
18	action affirmatively request that Magistrate Judge Thurston preside over the settlement		
19	conference scheduled for March 15, 2013. To the extent the parties consent to trial of the case		
20	before the assigned Magistrate Judge, they waive any claim of disqualification to the assigned		
21	Magistrate Judge trying the case thereafter.		
22			
23	By: Plaintiff		
24			
25			
26			
27	By: Attorney for Defendant		
28			