1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
6	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	MICHAEL CRUDUP,	CASE NO. 1:10-CV-00934-AWI-DLB PC
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' REQUEST TO CONDUCT PLAINTIFF'S
10	V.	DEPOSITION VIA VIDEO CONFERENCE
11	J. WARD, et al.,	(DOC. 21)
12	Defendants.	
13	/	
14		
15	Plaintiff Michael Crudup ("Plaintiff") is a California state prisoner proceeding pro se and	
16	in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On September 23,	
17	2011, Defendants filed a request for permission to conduct Plaintiff's deposition via video	
18	conference. Plaintiff has not filed an opposition to Defendant's request. Under Federal Rule of	
19	Civil Procedure 30(b)(4), the Court may order that a deposition be taken by remote means.	
20	Defendants' counsel contends that permitting video conference deposition would eliminate	
21	unnecessary travel expenses. Based on the foregoing, it is HEREBY ORDERED that	
22	Defendants' request for permission to conduct Plaintiff's deposition via video conference is	
23	GRANTED. Nothing in this order shall be interpreted as requiring any penal institution to obtain	
24	videoconferencing equipment if it is not already available.	
25		
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27	Dated: <u>November 8, 2011</u>	/s/ Dennis L. Beck UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		
		1