1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	LEMAR SINGLETON, SR.,	CASE NO. 1:10-cv-00953-AWI-BAM PC
10	Plaintiff,	AMENDED SECOND INFORMATIONAL ORDER - NOTICE AND WARNING OF
11	V.	REQUIREMENTS FOR OPPOSING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS
12	JONES, et al.,	(ECF No. 44)
13	Defendants.	(ECT NO. 44)
14	/	
15	Plaintiff LeMar Singleton, Sr. is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in	
16	this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Defendants filed a motion to dismiss for failure	
17	to exhaust on August 2, 2012, and pursuant to Woods v. Carey, Nos. 09-15548, 09-16113, 2012 WL	
18	2626912 (9th Cir. Jul. 6, 2012) and Wyatt v. Terhune, 315 F.3d 1108 (9th Cir. 2003), the Court	
19	hereby notifies Plaintiff of the following rights and requirements for opposing the motion:	
20	1. Unless otherwise ordered, all motions to dismiss shall be briefed pursuant to Local Rule	
21	230(1).	
22	2. Plaintiff is required to file an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to Defendants'	
23	motion to dismiss. Local Rule 230(1). If Plaintiff fails to file an opposition or a statement of non-	
24	opposition to the motion, this action may be dismissed, with prejudice, for failure to prosecute. The	
25	opposition or statement of non-opposition must be filed not more than 21 days after the date of	
26	service of the motion. <u>Id.</u>	
27	3. Defendants have filed a motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust the administrative	
28	remedies as to one or more claims in the complaint. The failure to exhaust the administrative	

1

remedies is subject to an unenumerated Rule 12(b) motion to dismiss. Wyatt, 315 F.3d at 1119 1 2 (citing Ritza v. Int'l Longshoremen's & Warehousemen's Union, 837 F.2d 365, 368 (9th Cir. 1988) (per curiam)). In deciding a motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust, the Court will look beyond the 3 pleadings and decide disputed issues of fact. Wyatt, 315 F.3d at 1119-20 (quoting Ritza, 837 F.2d 4 5 at 368). If the Court concludes that Plaintiff has not exhausted the administrative remedies, the unexhausted claims must be dismissed and the Court will grant the motion to dismiss. Wyatt, 315 6 7 F.3d at 1120. If all of the claims are unexhausted, the case will be dismissed, which means Plaintiff's case is over. If some of the claims are exhausted and some are unexhausted, the 8 9 unexhausted claims will be dismissed and the case will proceed forward only on the exhausted 10 claims. Jones v. Bock, 549 U.S. 199, 219-224, 127 S. Ct. 910, 923-26 (2007). A dismissal for failure to exhaust is without prejudice. Wyatt, 315 F.3d at 1120. 11

If responding to Defendants' unenumerated 12(b) motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust
the administrative remedies, Plaintiff may not simply rely on allegations in the complaint. Instead,
Plaintiff must oppose the motion by setting forth specific facts in declaration(s) and/or by submitting
other evidence regarding the exhaustion of administrative remedies. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 43(c); <u>Ritza</u>,
837 F.2d at 369. If Plaintiff does not submit his own evidence in opposition, the Court may conclude
that Plaintiff has not exhausted the administrative remedies and the case will be dismissed in whole
or in part.

19 4. Unsigned declarations will be stricken, and declarations not signed under penalty of20 perjury have no evidentiary value.

5. The failure of any party to comply with this order, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or the Local Rules of the Eastern District of California may result in the imposition of sanctions including but not limited to dismissal of the action or entry of default.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Dated: <u>August 6, 2012</u>

/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2