IAC IV	iorgage, LEO et al	D1
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	EASTERN DISTRI FRESNO	S DISTRICT COURT ICT OF CALIFORNIA O DIVISION
12	MATTHEW ARVIZU,	Case No.: 1:10-cv-990-OWW-JLT
13	Plaintiff,	
14	VS.	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY
15	GMAC MORTGAGE, LLC FKA GMAC MORTGAGE CORPORATION;	RESTRAINING ORDER AND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: INJUNCTION
16	GREENPOINT MORTGAGE FUNDING, INC.; MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC	
17	REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC.; ETS SERVICES, LLC; EXECUTIVE TRUSTEE	Date: Monday, August 2, 2010 Time: 4:00 PM
18	SERVICES; CONNIE R. MELVIN d/b/a ACTION LEGAL SUPPROT SERVICE;	Dept: Courtroom 3, 7th floor Judge: Hon. Oliver W. Wanger
19	MERRITT LAW, INC.; and Does 1 to 200, inclusive,	
20		
21		I
22		
23	Plaintiff Matthew Arvizu's ("Plaintiff's") ex parte Emergency Application for Temporary	
24	Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause Re Preliminary Injunction (Docket No. 18), filed on	
25	July 29, 2010 ("Application"), came on for hearing on August 2, 2010 in this Department before	
26	the Hon. Oliver W. Wanger.	
27	Francisco Aldana of The Advocates' Law Firm, LLP appeared on behalf of Plaintiff.	
28	Alex Sears of Severson & Werson appeared on behalf of defendants GMAC Mortgage, LLC,	
	19000/0119/832509.2	Case No. 1:10-cv-990-OWW-JLT ORDER
コモヘイカ	with ndfFactory trial version www ndffactory	com

1	Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc, and Executive Trustee Services, LLC (incorrect	
2	sued as "ETS Services, LLC" and "Executive Trustee Services"). Chetna Vora of Archer Norris	
3	appeared on behalf of defendant Greenpoint Mortgage Funding, Inc.	
4	After considering the moving and opposition papers, as well as oral argument, and good	
5	cause appearing, the Court ruled as follows:	
6	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that because Plaintiff has not adequately demonstrated a	
7	likelihood of success on the merits, that Plaintiff's Application for temporary restraining order is	
8	DENIED.	
9	The denial of Plaintiff's Application for temporary restraining order is WITHOUT	
10	PREJUDICE to Plaintiff bringing a motion for a preliminary injunction, seeking the same relief	
11	as was sought in the Application, on a regular noticed motion schedule.	
12		
13	DATED:August 20, 2010/s/ OLIVER W. WANGER Hon. Oliver W. Wanger	
14	U.S. District Court Judge	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

19000/0119/832509.2

Case No. 1:10-cv-990-OWW-JLT