

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 EDWARD LORENZO GUTIERREZ,

11 Petitioner,

No. CIV S-10-1209 MCE DAD P

12 vs.

13 GARY SWARTHOUT,

14 Respondent.

ORDER

15 _____/
16 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of
17 habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma
18 pauperis. Through his application for federal habeas relief, petitioner seeks to challenge a
19 judgment of conviction entered against him by the Stanislaus County Superior Court. Stanislaus
20 County is part of the Fresno Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District
21 of California. See Local Rule 120(d).

22 Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in
23 the proper division of a court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper
24 division of the court. Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Fresno Division of the
25 court. This court will not rule on petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis.

26 /////

1 Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. This court has not ruled on petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis;

3 2. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern
4 District of California sitting in Fresno; and

5 3. All future filings shall reference the new Fresno case number assigned and
6 shall be filed at:

7 United States District Court
8 Eastern District of California
9 2500 Tulare Street
Fresno, CA 93721

10 DATED: June 4, 2010.

11 
12 _____
13 DALE A. DROZD
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

14 DAD:kly
15 guti1209.109
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26