1	
2	
3	
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6	
7	STEVEN TKAC,) 1:10-cv-01043-GSA-PC
8	v. Plaintiff, ORDER RE MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS ON APPEAL
10	ARTHUR ANDERSON, et al., (Doc. 19.)
11	Defendant.
12	
13	Steven Tkac ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with this civil rights action
14	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.
15	On December 14, 2011, this action was dismissed in its entirety based on Plaintiff's failure t
16	state a claim upon which relief may be granted under § 1983, with leave to file a petition for habeas
17	corpus. (Doc. 15. On March 19, 2012, Plaintiff filed a motion for leave to proceed in forma
18	pauperis on appeal. (Doc. 19.)
19	Pursuant to Rule 24 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, a party who was permitted
20	to proceed in forma pauperis in the district-court action may proceed in forma pauperis on appeal
21	without prior authorization. Fed. R. App. P. 249(a)(3). In this case, Plaintiff's application to
22	proceed in forma pauperis in the district-court action was granted on June 10, 2010. (Doc. 4.)
23	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff is authorized to proceed in forma
24	pauperis on appeal.
25	
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.
27	Dated: March 23, 2012 /s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28	