

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8
9 MICHAEL TATER-ALEXANDER,

CASE NO. 1:10-cv-01050-AWI-SMS

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION TO PROCEED
IN FORMA PAUPERIS

12 COUNTY OF FRESNO, et al.,

13 Defendants.

(Doc. 2)

14 _____/
15
16 By a motion filed June 10, 2010, Plaintiff Michael Tater-Alexander seeks to proceed *in*
17 *forma pauperis*. Plaintiff has submitted a declaration that makes the showing required by 28
18 U.S.C. § 1915(a).

19
20 Accordingly, the Court GRANTS Plaintiff's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

21 Notwithstanding this order, the Court does not direct that service be undertaken until the
22 Court screens the complaint in due course and issues its screening order.

23
24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25 Dated: June 16, 2010

26 /s/ Sandra M. Snyder
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE