UNITED ST	TATES DISTRICT COURT
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
TONY HERNANDEZ,) Case No.: 1:10-cv-01064-LJO-SAB (PC)
Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
v.	RECOMMENDATION, DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT [ECF Nos. 49, 62]
A. MARTINEZ,	
Defendant.)
	<u> </u>
Plaintiff Tony Hernandez is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action	
pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
On December 4, 2015, the Magistra	te Judge issued a Findings and Recommendation
recommending denial of Plaintiff's motion	for summary judgment. (ECF No. 62.) The Findings and
Recommendation contained notice that objection	ections were to be filed within thirty days. The thirty day
time frame has expired and no objections w	vere filed.
In accordance with the provisions	of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the Court has conducted a de
novo review of this case. Having carefull	y reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the Findings and
Recommendation to be supported by the re	cord and by proper analysis.
///	
///	
///	
	TONY HERNANDEZ, Plaintiff, v. A. MARTINEZ, Defendant. Plaintiff Tony Hernandez is appeari pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On December 4, 2015, the Magistra recommending denial of Plaintiff's motion Recommendation contained notice that objectime frame has expired and no objections we In accordance with the provisions of novo review of this case. Having carefully Recommendation to be supported by the re

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The Findings and Recommendations, filed on December 4, 2015, is adopted in full; and
- 2. Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 16, 2016 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE