

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 ROBERT McDANIEL,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 FRANK X. CHAVEZ, et al.,

15 Defendants.
16

1:10-cv-01077-LJO-GSA-PC

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO EXPEDITE
CASE
(Doc. 26.)

17 **I. BACKGROUND**

18 Robert McDaniel ("Plaintiff") is a former state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil
19 rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed the Complaint commencing this
20 action on June 15, 2010. (Doc. 1.) Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, filed on September
21 15, 2014, awaits the court's requisite screening under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. (Doc. 21.)

22 On January 12, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion for the court to expedite the screening of
23 his complaint. (Doc. 26.)

24 **II. PLAINTIFF'S MOTION**

25 Plaintiff requests the court to expedite his case. The court ordinarily screens complaints
26 in the order in which they are filed at the court and strives to avoid delays whenever possible.
27 However, there are hundreds of prisoner civil rights cases presently pending before the court,
28 and delays are inevitable despite the court's best efforts. Plaintiff's Second Amended

1 Complaint will be screened in due time. Therefore, Plaintiff's motion for expedited screening
2 shall be denied.

3 **III. CONCLUSION**

4 Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff's motion to expedite his case, filed on January 12,
5 2015, is DENIED.

6
7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 Dated: January 14, 2015

/s/ Gary S. Austin
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE