

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROBERT MCDANIEL,

Plaintiff,

v.

FRANK X. CHAVEZ, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:10-cv-01077-LJO-EPG (PC)

ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO FILE  
OPPOSITION OR STATEMENT OF NON-  
OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S  
MOTION TO DISMISS WITHIN THIRTY  
DAYS

Robert McDaniel ("Plaintiff") is a former state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* with this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On March 28, 2017, defendant Loyd filed a motion to dismiss. (ECF No. 58). Plaintiff was required to file an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to the motion within twenty-one days (Local Rule 230(l)), but did not do so.

Local Rule 230(l) provides that the failure to oppose a motion "may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion and may result in the imposition of sanctions." However, the Court will give Plaintiff an additional thirty days to file an opposition or statement of non-opposition to the motion to dismiss. The Court will deem any failure to oppose the motion to dismiss as a waiver of any opposition, and may recommend that the motion be granted on that basis.

Failure to follow a district court's local rules is a proper ground for dismissal. U.S. v. Warren, 601 F.2d 471, 474 (9th Cir. 1979). Thus, a Court may dismiss an action for a plaintiff's

1 failure to oppose a motion to dismiss, where the applicable local rule determines that failure to  
2 oppose a motion will be deemed a waiver of opposition. See Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52 (9th  
3 Cir. 1995), cert. denied 516 U.S. 838 (1995) (dismissal upheld even where plaintiff contends he  
4 did not receive motion to dismiss, where plaintiff had adequate notice, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P.  
5 5(b), and time to file opposition); cf. Heinemann v. Satterberg, 731 F.3d 914, 916 (9th Cir. 2013)  
6 (holding that a motion for summary judgment cannot be granted based on a failure to file  
7 opposition, regardless of any local rule to the contrary).

8 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 9 1. Within thirty days from the date of service of this order, Plaintiff shall file an  
10 opposition or statement of non-opposition to the motion to dismiss; and
- 11 2. If Plaintiff fails to comply with this order, the Court will deem the failure to  
12 respond as a waiver of any opposition and may recommend that the motion to  
13 dismiss be granted on that basis. Additionally, the Court may recommend that this  
14 case be dismissed for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with a court order.

15  
16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17 Dated: May 2, 2017

18 /s/ Eric P. Gray  
19 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE