Esparza, et al. v. T	he Burlington Insurance Company	Doc. 2	:5
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	LUIS M. ESPARZA, et al.,	CASE NO. CV F 10-1079 LJO JLT	
12	Plaintiffs,	ORDER TO TAKE SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION UNDER SUBMISSION	
13	vs. (Doc. 20.)		
14	THE BURLINGTON INSURANCE COMPANY, Defendant.		
15			
16			
17	Pursuant to its practice, this Court will consider defendant's summary judgment motion on the		
18	record and VACATES the August 10, 2011 hearing. See Local Rule 230(c). Due to having the heaviest		
19	district court caseload in the nation and inability to hold to scheduled dates, this Court encourages the		
20	parties to consider consent to the conduct of further proceedings by a United States Magistrate Judge.		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
22	Dated:	/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill ITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
23	UN	TIED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		1	
		1	