

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 JURRAY WILLIE CASEY,

1:10-cv-01085-GSA (HC)

12
13 Petitioner,

ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

14 vs.

15 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF

16 CALIFORNIA,

17 Respondent.
18 _____ /
19

20 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a habeas corpus action pursuant to 28
21 U.S.C. § 2254.

22 The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on diversity
23 jurisdiction, be brought only in “(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all defendants reside
24 in the same state, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise
25 to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is situated, or
26 (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is no district in which the action may
27 otherwise be brought.” 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

28 In this case, the petitioner is challenging a conviction from Los Angeles County, which is in the

1 Central District of California. Therefore, the petition should have been filed in the United States District
2 Court for the Central District of California. In the interest of justice, a federal court may transfer a case
3 filed in the wrong district to the correct district. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Starnes v. McGuire, 512 F.2d
4 918, 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974).

5 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United States
6 District Court for the Central District of California.

7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 **Dated: June 23, 2010**

/s/ Gary S. Austin
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE