

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LONNIE DONELL PERKINS,

CASE NO. 1:10-cv-01115-GBC (PC)

Plaintiff,

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS  
REQUESTING SCREENING

v.

(ECF Nos. 7 & 8)

R. CRUM, et al.,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

**ORDER**

Plaintiff Lonnie Donell Perkins ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed this action on June 21, 2010 and consented to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction on July 26, 2010. (ECF Nos. 1 & 5.) Plaintiff's Complaint has not been screened. Pending before the Court now are Plaintiff's Motions for Screening and Demand for Jury Trial, asking the Court to screen his complaint. (ECF Nos. 7 & 8.)

The Court is required to screen complaints brought by prisoners seeking relief against a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The Court must dismiss a complaint or portion thereof if the prisoner has raised claims that are legally "frivolous or malicious," that fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or that seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1), (2). The Court will direct the United States Marshal to serve Plaintiff's complaint only after the Court has screened the complaint and

1 determined that it contains cognizable claims for relief against the named Defendants. The  
2 Court has a large number of prisoner civil rights cases pending before it and will screen  
3 Plaintiff's complaint in due course.

4           Accordingly, Plaintiff's Motions for Screening are DENIED.

5

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7

Dated: April 22, 2011

8

  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28