1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	RAYMOND VILLA,	CASE No. 1:10-cv-01148-AWI-MJS
12 13	Plaintiff, v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS RECOMMENDING DENIAL OF MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
14	P.L. VASQUEZ, et al.,	ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR
15	Defendants.	EXTENSION OF TIME
16		(ECF No. 53)
17		OBJECTIONS DUE WITHIN THIRTY (30) DAYS
18		
19	Plaintiff Raymond Villa is a former state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma	
20	pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On June 17, 2014,	
21	Plaintiff filed a motion for an extension of time to respond to Defendants' pending	
22	summary judgment motion. (ECF No. 53.) Plaintiff's motion also requests preliminary	
23	injunctive relief. Plaintiff asks the Court to order Fresno County Jail officials to grant	
24	Plaintiff access to a law library. ( <u>Id.</u> )	
25	I. EXTENSION OF TIME	
26	Plaintiff has been confined in the Fresno County Jail, and the Defendants' Motion	
27	for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 52), filed May 30, 2014, was only recently forwarded	
28	to him. Plaintiff requests an extension of time to secure his legal materials and prepare	

an appropriate response. Good cause having been presented to the Court, Plaintiff will be granted thirty (30) days from the date of service of this order in which to respond to the pending motion for summary judgment.

## II. PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief in the form of a court order requiring county jail officials to give Plaintiff access to a law library.

"A preliminary injunction is an extraordinary remedy never awarded as of right." Winter v. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc., 555 U.S. 7, 24 (2008) (citation omitted). "A plaintiff seeking a preliminary injunction must establish that he is likely to succeed on the merits, that he is likely to suffer irreparable harm in the absence of preliminary relief, that the balance of equities tips in his favor, and that an injunction is in the public interest." Id. at 20 (citations omitted). An injunction may only be awarded upon a clear showing that the plaintiff is entitled to relief. Id. at 22 (citation omitted).

Federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction, and as a preliminary matter, the court must have before it an actual case or controversy. City of Los Angeles v. Lyons, 461 U.S. 95, 101-2 (1983); Valley Forge Christian Coll. v. Ams. United for Separation of Church and State, Inc., 454 U.S. 464, 471 (1982); Jones v. City of Los Angeles, 444 F.3d 1118, 1126 (9th Cir. 2006). If the court does not have an actual case or controversy before it, it has no power to hear the matter in question. Id. "A federal court may issue an injunction if it has personal jurisdiction over the parties and subject matter jurisdiction over the claim; it may not attempt to determine the rights of persons not before the court." Zepeda v. United States Immigration Service, 753 F.2d 719, 727 (9th Cir. 1985).

This action is proceeding on Eighth and Fourteenth Amendment claims against officials at Wasco State Prison. Since no official from the Fresno County Jail, where Plaintiff currently is incarcerated, is a party to this action, the Court has no jurisdiction to order them to act as requested or otherwise.

///

## III. CONCLUSION

The Court finds that Plaintiff has set forth good cause to justify a thirty day extension of time. However, under the circumstances, Plaintiff is not entitled to preliminary injunctive relief.

Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's request for an extension of time is GRANTED. Further, it is HEREBY RECOMMENDED that Plaintiff's request for preliminary injunctive relief be DENIED.

These Findings and Recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within thirty (30) days after being served with these Findings and Recommendations, any party may file written objections with the Court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any reply to the objections shall be served and filed within ten (10) days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir.1991).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: <u>June 24, 2014</u> /s/ *Michael J.* S

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

- '