1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WILLIAM H. THOMAS,

Plaintiff,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 1:10-CV-01154-DLB PC

ORDER DISMISSING ACTION FOR FAILURE TO OBEY COURT ORDER AND FAILURE TO STATE CLAIM

MAGEUA, et al.,

v.

(DOC. 8)

On May 27, 2011, the Court issued an order for Plaintiff to show cause why this action
should not be dismissed for failure to obey a court order and failure to state a claim, within
eighteen (18) days. The eighteen (18)-day period has now expired, and Plaintiff has not shown
cause or otherwise responded to the Court's order.

16 Local Rule 110 provides that "failure of counsel or of a party to comply with these Local 17 Rules or with any order of the Court may be grounds for the imposition by the Court of any and 18 all sanctions . . . within the inherent power of the Court." District courts have the inherent power 19 to control their dockets and "in the exercise of that power, they may impose sanctions including, 20 where appropriate . . . dismissal of a case." Thompson v. Housing Auth., 782 F.2d 829, 831 (9th 21 Cir. 1986). A court may dismiss an action, with prejudice, based on a party's failure to prosecute 22 an action, failure to obey a court order, or failure to comply with local rules. See, e.g., Ghazali v. 23 Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53-54 (9th Cir. 1995)(dismissal for noncompliance with local rule); Ferdik v. 24 Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992) (dismissal for failure to comply with an order 25 requiring amendment of complaint); Carey v. King, 856 F.2d 1439, 1440-41 (9th Cir. 26 1988)(dismissal for failure to comply with local rule requiring pro se plaintiffs to keep court 27 apprised of address); Malone v. U.S. Postal Service, 833 F.2d 128, 130 (9th Cir. 1987)(dismissal 28 for failure to comply with court order); Henderson v. Duncan, 779 F.2d 1421, 1424 (9th Cir.

1

1 1986)(dismissal for failure to lack of prosecution and failure to comply with local rules).

In determining whether to dismiss an action for lack of prosecution, failure to obey a court order, or failure to comply with local rules, the court must consider several factors: (1) the public's interest in expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court's need to manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants; (4) the public policy favoring disposition of cases on their merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic alternatives. *Thompson*, 782 F.2d at 831; 6 Henderson, 779 F.2d at 1423-24; Malone, 833 F.2d at 130; Ferdik, 963 F.2d at 1260-61; *Ghazali*, 46 F.3d at 53.

9 In the instant case, the Court finds that the public's interest in expeditiously resolving this 10 litigation and the court's interest in managing the docket weigh in favor of dismissal. The third 11 factor, risk of prejudice to defendants, also weighs in favor of dismissal, since a presumption of 12 injury arises from the occurrence of unreasonable delay in prosecuting an action. Anderson v. Air 13 West, 542 F.2d 522, 524 (9th Cir. 1976). The fourth factor -- public policy favoring disposition of cases on their merits -- is greatly outweighed by the factors in favor of dismissal discussed 14 15 herein. Finally, a court's warning to a party that his failure to obey the court's order will result in dismissal satisfies the "consideration of alternatives" requirement. Ferdik, 963 F.2d at 1262; 16 17 Malone, 833 F.2d at 132-33; Henderson, 779 F.2d at 1424. The Court's order expressly stated, 18 "Failure to show cause or otherwise respond will result in dismissal of this action for failure to 19 obey a court order and failure to state a claim." Thus, plaintiff had adequate warning that 20 dismissal would result from his noncompliance with the court's order.

21 On July 6, 2010, Plaintiff filed a written consent to jurisdiction of the U.S. Magistrate 22 Judge.

23 Accordingly, the court HEREBY ORDERS that this action be dismissed based on 24 plaintiff's failure to obey the court's order of May 27, 2011, and for failure to state a claim.

2

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 8, 2011

/s/ Dennis L. Beck UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

27 28

25

26

2

3

4

5

7

8