(HC) Johnson	n v. Clay		
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	MOULET BOY TO BUSON	1.10 011(4.0)(0.40)	
11	MICHAEL ROY JOHNSON,	1:10-cv-01164-SMS (HC)	
12	Petitioner,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED	
13	V.	ON RESPONDENT FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH COURT'S ORDER	
14	HECTOR A. RIOS,	[Doc. 13]	
15	Respondent.		
16		<u>_/</u>	
17	Petitioner is a federal prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus		
18	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241.		
19	Petitioner filed the petition for writ of habeas corpus on June 18, 2010. By order of		
20	August 10, 2010, the Court directed Respondent to file a response within sixty days from the date		
21	of service of that order. Over sixty days have passed and Respondent has failed to file a response.		
22	Review of the certificate of service reveals that Respondent was served with this order at the		
23	appropriate address.		
24	Local Rule 110 provides that "a failure of counsel or of a party to comply with these		
25	Local Rules or with any order of the Court may be grounds for the imposition by the Court of any		
26	and all sanctions within the inherent power of the Court."		
27	Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that within twenty (20) days of service of this		
28	order, Respondent shall SHOW CAUSE why appropriate sanctions should not be imposed for		
		1	

Doc. 17

1	failing to obey a court order.		
2	IT IS SO	ORDERED.	
3	Dated: _	October 19, 2010	/s/ Sandra M. Snyder UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
4			CIVILD STATES IMPOISTED TO THE VODGE
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			