1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SYLESTER WILLIAMS,) Case No.: 1:10-cv-01250-SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,) ORDER REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S REOUEST
13	v.	 ORDER REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR TELEPHONIC CONFERENCE WITH DEFENSE COUNSEL REGARDING
14	SERGEANT R. ANDERSON, et al.,) SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS
15	Defendant.) [ECF Nos. 115, 116]
16)
17	Plaintiff Sylester Williams is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action	
18	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), the parties have consented to the	
19	jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge. Local Rule 302.	
20	On March 23, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion for a telephone conference with defense counsel	
21	which is dated by Plaintiff on March 15, 2015. (ECF No. 116.) On this same date, Plaintiff filed a	
22	motion to withdraw his request for a conference	ce, dated March 18, 2015. (ECF No. 115.)
23	The Court is available to assist with settlement of this action at the request of <i>both</i> parties.	
24	Should the parties agree that the Court's assistance would be beneficial in settlement of this action,	
25	they may file a request for a settlement conference and a magistrate judge will be assigned to conduct	
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	
		1

1	a settlement conference. Absent a request by both parties demonstrating that a settlement conference	
2	may be beneficial, the Court will not order a settlement conference and Plaintiff's request is DENIED	
3	without prejudice.	
4		
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
6	Dated: April 1, 2015	
7	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	