

1
2
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
4 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

5
6 **ALLIED WORLD NATIONAL ASSURANCE
COMPANY, et al.,**

7 **Plaintiffs,**

8 **v.**

9 **SK PM CORP., et al.,**

10 **Defendants.**

1:10-cv-1262-LJO-JLT

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE
DISMISSAL OF REMAINING CLAIMS
AND VACATING JULY 10, 2014
PRETRIAL CONFERENCE**

11
12
13 On June 12, 2014, “in light of the parties’ continuing efforts to resolve all claims in this case,”
14 the Court rescheduled the pretrial conference for July 10, 2014. Doc. 163. As indicated in the Court’s
15 October 18, 2012 scheduling order, pursuant to Local Rule 281, Plaintiffs were required to file a pretrial
16 conference statement on or before June 26, 2014, Defendants were required to file a pretrial statement
17 on or before July 3, 2014, and the parties were required to file a joint pretrial statement on or before July
18 3, 2014. Doc. 103 at 5. To date, the parties have not filed any pretrial conference statements.
19 Consequently, the parties are in violation of the Court’s scheduling order and Local Rule 281.

20 Accordingly, the Court ORDERS that:

- 21 1. The July 10, 2014 pretrial conference date is VACATED;
- 22 2. The parties shall file a joint pretrial conference statement on or before July 11, 2014, or show
23 cause in writing why the remaining claims against the remaining Defendants should not be
24 dismissed due to violations of the Court’s scheduling order and Local Rules and/or the failure
25 to diligently prosecute the case.

26 Failure to comply with this order may be grounds for dismissal. *See* Local Rule 110; *Bautista v.*

1 *Los Angeles County*, 216 F.3d 837, 841 (9th Cir. 2000); *Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53-54 (9th Cir.
2 1995) (dismissal for noncompliance with Local Rule).

3

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated: July 7, 2014

/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26