

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DANIEL DELGADO,

CASE NO. 1:10-cv-01303-SKO

Plaintiff,

**ORDER ON PARTIES' STIPULATED  
REQUEST TO EXTEND DATES SET  
BY SCHEDULING ORDER**

v.

THE MUNIS COMPANY II, et al.,

Defendants.

(Docket No. 21)

On March 31, 2011, the parties filed a stipulated request to extend dates set by scheduling order. (Doc. 21.) The parties stated that they have conducted limited discovery and are exploring settlement. (Doc. 21, ¶3.)

The parties have stated good cause, and the Court will grant a continuance. While the Court can accommodate some of the dates proposed by the parties, some of the proposed dates do not allow sufficient time between events. Therefore, the Court has not adopted all of the dates proposed by the parties, and the schedule deadlines will be revised as follows:

<u>Event</u>	<u>Previous Deadline</u>	<u>Revised Deadline</u>
Non-Expert Discovery Deadline	June 1, 2011	July 1, 2011
Expert Disclosure Deadline	April 1, 2011	July 22, 2011
Supp. Expert Disclosure Deadline	May 2, 2011	August 19, 2011

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Expert Discovery Deadline	N/A	October 21, 2011
Non-Disp. Motion Filing Date	June 15, 2011	November 16, 2011
Hearing for Non-Disp. Motions	July 27, 2011	December 14, 2011
Disp. Motion Filing Date	July 1, 2011	December 21, 2011
Hearing for Disp. Motions	August 3, 2011	February 1, 2012
Pre-Trial Conference	September 21, 2011	March 14, 2012
Settlement Conference	June 15, 2011	June 15, 2011
Trial Date	October 25, 2011	April 24, 2012

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the parties' request for an extension of their scheduling deadlines is PARTIALLY GRANTED as set forth above.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

**Dated:** April 1, 2011

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE