(HC) Davis v	v. Martel II	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITE	ED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	DANIEL ANDREW DAVIS,	
11	Petitioner,	No. CIV S-10-1812 EFB P
12	vs.	
13	M. MARTEL,	
14	Respondent.	<u>ORDER</u>
15	/	
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner without counsel, seeks a writ of habeas corpus. See 28 U.S.C.	
17	§ 2254. Petitioner seeks leave to proceed in forma pauperis. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a).	
18	Petitioner challenges a conviction in the Fresno County Superior Court and so this action	
19	should have been commenced in the district court in Fresno. E.D. Cal. Local Rule 120(d). The	
20	court will not rule on petitioner's application leave for to proceed in forma pauperis or his	
21	motion for appointment of counsel.	
22	Accordingly, it is hereby ordered that:	
23	1. This action is transferred to the district court in Fresno. See E.D. Cal. Local Rule	
24	120(f).	
25	2. The Clerk of Court shall assign a new case number.	
26	////	
		1

Doc. 5

3. All future filings shall bear the new case number and shall be filed at: **United States District Court** Eastern District of California 2500 Tulare Street Fresno, CA 93721 DATED: July 21, 2010. UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE